

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED | INVENTOR | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------------------|--|
| 09/551,871 | 04/18/00 | YOSHIDA | | К | 0020-4699P | |
| — BIRCH STEWAF | RT KOLASCH : | コ | EXAMINER HUNTER, A | | | |
| P.O. BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: | 06/19/01 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | PV | 09/551,871 YOSHIDA ET AL. | | | | | |
| | | ı y | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | | Alvin A Hunter | 3711 | | | | |
| Period fo | | nmunication appo | ears on the cover sh | eet with the correspondence ad | dress | | | |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PER MAILING DATE OF THIS COM nsions of time may be available under the p SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the period for reply specified above is less than a period for reply is specified above, the may reto reply within the set or extended period reply received by the Office later than three and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7 | IMUNICATION. rovisions of 37 CFR 1.1 nis communication. It thirty (30) days, a replicimum statutory period for reply will, by statute months after the mailing | 36 (a). In no event, howevery within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SIXs, cause the application to be | er, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered time ((6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ely. communication. | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication | n(s) filed on <u>18 /</u> | <u> April 2000</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL. | 2b)□ Th | nis action is non-fina | al. | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in | the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) | is/are withdra | wn from considerat | ion. | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objecte | d to. | | | · | | | |
| 8) | Claims are subject to | restriction and/o | or election requirem | ent. | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to | o by the Examin | ier. | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on | is/are objected | to by the Examiner | | | | | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correct | tion filed on | is: a)□ approve | ed b)□ disapproved. | | | | |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is obj | ected to by the E | xaminer. | | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 13)⊠ | Acknowledgment is made of | a claim for foreig | n priority under 35 | U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| | ⊠ All b) Some * c) No | | | | | | | |
| • | 1. Certified copies of the | | ts have been receiv | ved. | | | | |
| | | • | | ved in Application No | | | | |
| * ; | 3. Copies of the certified of application from the See the attached detailed Office | International Bu | ureau (PCT Rule 17 | | al Stage | | | |
| 14) | | | | | | | | |
| Attachme | nt(s) | | | | | | | |
| 15) No | tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO | | 18) 19) 14. 20) 10. 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 | Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of Informal Patent Application Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/551,871

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1- 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugimoto et al. (USPN 6045459).

Sugimoto et al. discloses a three-piece golf ball having an inner layer core (1), an outer layer core (2), and a cover (3) (See Abstract and Figure 1). The inner core has a diameter of 31 to 36mm and a JIS-C hardness of 60 to 85 (See Column 2, lines 33 through 46). Sugimoto et al. also discloses that when the surface hardness exceeds the center hardness that the shot feel is poor and the durability is deteriorated, in which the applicant is claiming the center hardness of the inner core being lower than the surface hardness of the inner core. Sugimoto et al. also discloses the inner core and the outer core being composed of the same material, which is polybutadiene, a co-crosslinking agent, organic peroxide, and a filler (See Column 3, lines 8 through 60). The crosslinking agent is disclosed as including monovalent or divalent metal salts of a α , β -unsaturated carboxylic acid having 3 to 8 carbon atoms, in which magnesium methacrylate is classified as. Sugimoto et al. also discloses that when the thickness of

Application/Control Number: 09/551,871

Art Unit: 3711

the outer layer is smaller than 1mm, the presence of the outer layer is meaning less and shot feel is hard; another feature that is claimed by the applicant (See Column 2, lines 60 through 67). The applicant is using negative statistics in order to obtain an improved golf ball. Furthermore, the cover has a thickness of 1 to 4mm and a Shore D hardness of 55 to 75 (See Column 4, lines 15through 38).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a negative statistic of Sugimoto et al., by having a center hardness lower than the surface hardness, in order to attempt to optimize the shot feel of the golf ball. It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a negative statistic of Sugimoto et al., by having a cover thickness less than 1.0mm, in order to optimize the rebound coefficient.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 09/551,871

Art Unit: 3711

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin A Hunter whose telephone number is 703-306-5693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30AM

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeanette Chapman, can be reached on (703) 308-1310. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

308-7768.

to 4:30PM Eastern Time.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 4